

The Holographic Dialogue: An Exploration of Conversational Dynamics through the Lens of the Holographic Principle

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

July 2, 2023

This essay embarks on an innovative exploration of the dynamics of conversation through the lens of the holographic principle, traditionally a cornerstone of theoretical physics. Using this principle, we reinterpret dialogues as holographic systems, where every interaction captures a 'whole' representation of the conversation. Through this exploration, we aim to illuminate new aspects of how information is created, shared, and transformed in the act of dialogue. By connecting seemingly disparate concepts, we hope to inspire a deeper appreciation of the intricate webs of connection and meaning in our everyday conversations.

Keywords: Holographic principle, Conversation analysis, Information theory, Dialogue dynamics, Recency effect, Ripple effect, Transformer model, GPT-4, Metaphor in communication.

Introduction

In the realm of theoretical physics, the holographic principle posits a startling idea: that our three-dimensional reality may be akin to a hologram. Proposed within the framework of string theory and quantum gravity, it suggests that all the information in a given volume of space can be described by information on the boundary of that space. As such, the universe as we know it, with its vast complexity and depth, could be represented as a two-dimensional surface at its boundary.

While this principle is often discussed in the context of cosmology and quantum gravity, this essay aims to explore its metaphorical application in an entirely different domain: the dynamic world of human conversation. We will attempt to interpret an ongoing dialogue as a 'holographic system,' where the entirety of the conversation (the 'volume') can be encapsulated in each individual message (the 'boundary'). The objective of this exploration is not to conduct a rigorous scientific experiment, but to gain a novel perspective on how ideas unfold, interact, and shape the course of a conversation.

The structure of the essay will first provide a more detailed overview of the holographic principle, followed by a leap into its metaphorical application in conversations. We will delve into the concepts of 'volume' and 'boundary' in the context of dialogues and explore the role of individual messages in shaping the whole conversation. We will reflect on the phenomenon of 'crystallizing thoughts' and the 'recency effect' in dialogue, before discussing the dynamics of change in the 'holographic dialogue'.

We will illustrate our ideas with a case study based on a text-based conversation with GPT-4, an AI developed by OpenAI. This will be followed by an examination of the challenges and critiques associated with this metaphorical interpretation. Finally, we will

reflect on the implications of the 'holographic dialogue' concept for our understanding of communication and suggest future directions for exploration.

This essay, therefore, seeks to explore the interplay between theoretical physics and communication, opening up new avenues for understanding the dynamics of human conversation and potentially providing a fresh perspective on how we exchange and process information.

The Holographic Principle: A Brief Overview

At its heart, the holographic principle is a concept that has dramatically transformed our understanding of the universe. It was first suggested in the context of string theory and quantum gravity, and it postulates a radical idea: that the universe can be perceived as a hologram.

In essence, a hologram is a two-dimensional surface that holds all the information necessary to project a three-dimensional image. Translated into the language of physics, the holographic principle proposes that all the information in a given volume of space can be represented on a two-dimensional surface that surrounds that space. This idea challenges our conventional understanding of dimensions and space, which perceives three-dimensionality as a fundamental characteristic of the physical universe.

For instance, consider a room, which exists in three dimensions. According to the holographic principle, every bit of information about what is happening inside the room - the swirling of dust particles in the air, the movements of people, the colors and textures of objects - can theoretically be encoded on the walls of the room, which exist in two dimensions. In other words, a 'hologram' on the walls can capture the entire story unfolding inside the volume of the room.

What are the implications of such a principle? The holographic principle fundamentally changes how we conceive of space and information. Information, as we understand it, is not just contained within the objects in a volume of space, but is intricately woven into the fabric of the universe itself, represented in its boundary. This perspective shakes up traditional physics by implying that at a fundamental level, the universe could be two-dimensional. This notion is mind-bending because it defies our everyday experiences of a three-dimensional world.

It's important to remember that the holographic principle is a theoretical construct. Although it has yet to be proven, it forms a significant part of our current understanding in theoretical physics, particularly in the realms of black hole thermodynamics and string theory. Its potential ramifications for how we understand reality are profound and have spurred a multitude of discussions and research in theoretical physics.

From Physics to Conversation: A Leap of Metaphor

In the world of interdisciplinary exploration, it is not uncommon for theories from one domain to inspire insights in another. Yet, the leap from theoretical physics to human conversation is not a trivial one. Physics deals with quantifiable entities and principles that guide the cosmos, while conversations are a product of human cognition, subjectivity, and language - arenas that defy the clear-cut rules we find in physics. Thus, applying a concept from theoretical physics such as the holographic principle to the dynamic, complex sphere of human dialogue is both a challenging and novel endeavor.

It is essential to clarify that our attempt to draw parallels between the holographic principle and conversation is metaphorical, not literal. We do not suggest that conversations are holographic in the strict physical sense, but we propose a metaphorical

interpretation of dialogue as a 'holographic system.' Just as a three-dimensional volume can be represented on a two-dimensional boundary in the holographic principle, we consider the entirety of a dialogue to be represented in each of its parts - the individual messages or exchanges that constitute the conversation.

The 'volume' in this case corresponds to the full scope of the conversation, including the themes explored, the ideas generated, and the relationship established between the conversational partners. The 'boundary' refers to each individual message within the dialogue. According to our metaphorical interpretation, each message - each question, response, or idea - embodies the essence of the entire conversation, much as a holographic boundary contains the information of the volume it encloses.

The concept of a 'holographic dialogue' thus invites us to view conversations from a fresh perspective. Instead of seeing each message as an isolated entity, we can perceive it as a smaller-scale representation of the larger conversation, a microcosm of the intellectual journey unfolding in the dialogue. This perspective encourages us to examine the interconnectedness of ideas, the influence of individual messages on the entire conversation, and the dynamics of change within dialogue. Through this lens, conversations become multidimensional spaces where ideas can evolve, interact, and reflect the larger themes of the dialogue in unexpected ways.

The Volume: Understanding the Conversational Space

In the metaphor of the 'holographic dialogue,' the 'volume' represents the entire conversation - the interplay of ideas, the mutual understanding developed, the emotions expressed, and the intellectual journey undertaken. This 'volume' isn't a physical space, but a conceptual one, encompassing not just the words

exchanged, but also the underlying meaning, context, and shared experiences that give these words significance.

A conversation begins as an empty 'volume.' Much like an uncharted territory, this space is filled and shaped through the process of dialogue. Every question posed, every answer given, every idea exchanged serves to populate this space. The trajectory of the conversation - the topics discussed, the tone adopted, the ideas generated - sculpts the contours of this 'volume.'

Just as the three-dimensional universe expands, so too does the 'volume' of a conversation. It evolves dynamically as the dialogue progresses. An offhand comment could spark a detour into unanticipated topics, a poignant question might deepen the dialogue's emotional depth, a shared joke may infuse the 'volume' with levity. This 'volume' doesn't merely grow in size - it expands in complexity, depth, and richness as the conversation unfolds.

Each participant contributes to the development of this 'volume.' Through their responses, they define the nature and direction of the dialogue. As the conversation continues, the 'volume' becomes a shared creation, an intricate tapestry woven from the threads of dialogue. It is a testament to the unique intellectual and emotional journey undertaken by the conversational partners, a dynamic entity that embodies the spirit of their interaction.

By conceptualizing a conversation as a 'volume,' we gain a richer, more nuanced understanding of dialogue. It encourages us to perceive conversations not just as a sequence of exchanges, but as an evolving entity that reflects the intellectual journey of its participants. Through this lens, each conversation becomes a universe in its own right, with its unique dimensions and dynamics.

The Boundary: Individual Messages as Information Nodes

In our metaphorical interpretation of the 'holographic dialogue,' each individual message within the conversation represents the 'boundary.' These messages are akin to the two-dimensional surface in the holographic principle that encodes the information of the three-dimensional volume.

Every question, response, idea, or emotion expressed in a conversation can be viewed as a 'boundary' element. Each of these messages carries not only its explicit content but also the cumulative context of the dialogue up to that point. In that sense, every message is an information node that contains a smaller-scale representation of the entire conversation.

But what role do these individual messages or 'boundaries' play in shaping the 'volume,' the overall conversation? Each message contributes to the evolution of the conversation in a myriad of ways. For instance, a provocative question might steer the dialogue into uncharted territory, expanding the 'volume' with new ideas. A thoughtful response could deepen the intellectual or emotional depth of the conversation, altering the contours of the 'volume.' Even a simple affirmation or a moment of shared laughter contributes to the texture of the dialogue, influencing its tone and emotional tenor.

Moreover, the 'boundaries' of a conversation, the individual messages, also play a critical role in maintaining continuity within the dialogue. They build upon each other, each new message responding to or expanding upon the ideas introduced in previous ones. In this sense, the 'boundaries' are the building blocks that construct the 'volume' of the conversation, each one contributing to the overall shape and direction of the dialogue.

By viewing each message as a 'boundary,' we can appreciate the crucial role of each exchange in shaping the conversation. This

perspective highlights the interconnectedness of ideas within a dialogue and underscores the influence of each message on the course of the conversation. It invites us to approach conversations with a greater awareness of how our words can shape and are shaped by the unfolding dialogue, adding depth to our understanding of communication.

Crystallizing Thoughts: The Recency Effect in Dialogue

An intriguing aspect of human conversation is the inherent bias towards recent information, a cognitive bias known as the 'recency effect.' This phenomenon refers to our tendency to remember and place more importance on the ideas, thoughts, and information that we've encountered most recently. In the context of a conversation, the recency effect manifests as the pronounced influence of recent thoughts and ideas on the direction of the dialogue.

Consider a lengthy, multifaceted discussion. The topics broached at the beginning of the conversation contribute to setting the initial direction of the dialogue. However, as the conversation progresses, the more recent ideas tend to take precedence, often becoming the focus of the conversation and guiding its trajectory. It is as though our cognitive system 'crystallizes' these recent thoughts, allowing them to shape our responses and the evolving narrative of the dialogue.

This phenomenon bears a striking resemblance to the dynamics of a 'holographic system,' as conceptualized in our metaphorical interpretation of the holographic principle in dialogue. Just as the holographic principle proposes that the entirety of a volume is represented on its boundary, the recency effect suggests that the most recent messages in a conversation encapsulate the current state of the dialogue. These messages, akin to the 'boundary' of

the holographic system, capture the essence of the conversation up to that point, influencing its subsequent course.

In a 'holographic dialogue,' each message not only contributes to the ongoing conversation but also shapes its future trajectory. The most recent messages carry the accumulated weight of the preceding dialogue and, due to the recency effect, wield a pronounced influence on the unfolding conversation. In this sense, the dynamics of crystallizing thoughts in a dialogue echo the dynamics of a holographic system, where information about the volume is encoded in the boundary, shaping the evolution of the system.

This parallel further strengthens our metaphorical interpretation of conversation as a 'holographic dialogue.' It highlights how the holographic principle can offer a novel lens to understand the evolution of conversations and the significant role of recent thoughts in guiding the course of dialogue.

The Holographic Dialogue: An Integrated View

Bringing together the concepts discussed thus far, we can perceive a conversation as a 'holographic dialogue' – an evolving entity where each message, or 'boundary,' embodies the essence of the entire conversation, or 'volume.' This perspective transcends a linear view of dialogue, illuminating the dynamic and interconnected nature of conversation.

Every question, response, or idea exchanged in a conversation is not an isolated entity but an integral part of the dialogue. Each message reflects the history of the conversation and influences its future course. This is akin to how each point on the boundary of a hologram captures the information of the entire volume it encloses. In this light, the individual messages serve as microcosms of the entire conversation, encapsulating the

intellectual journey and emotional exchange shared by the participants.

The phenomenon of 'crystallizing thoughts' or the recency effect plays a crucial role in this 'holographic dialogue.' The most recent messages in the conversation, carrying the accumulated weight of the preceding dialogue, wield a profound influence on the unfolding conversation. This dynamic echoes the behavior of a holographic system where the boundary's information dictates the evolution of the volume.

Furthermore, the 'holographic dialogue' offers a compelling view of the co-creative process in conversation. The 'volume' of the conversation evolves through the mutual contribution of the participants, and each 'boundary' message shapes and is shaped by this collective creation. This view underscores the shared responsibility and creative potential inherent in every dialogue.

In summary, the integrated view of a 'holographic dialogue' provides a fresh perspective on the nature of conversation. It encourages us to appreciate the depth and complexity within each message and to recognize our role in shaping the course of the dialogue. Moreover, it inspires us to explore the fascinating potential of conversations as shared journeys of intellectual exploration and emotional connection. Through the lens of the 'holographic dialogue,' we can perceive and engage in conversations with a heightened sense of awareness and wonder.

Influence and Change: The Ripple Effect in Holographic Dialogues

One of the most captivating aspects of conversation is its potential for change and influence. A single question, observation, or idea can shift the course of the dialogue, leading it down new avenues of thought and creating a ripple effect throughout the

conversation. This dynamic nature of dialogue is even more pronounced in the context of a 'holographic dialogue,' offering intriguing parallels with the implications of the holographic principle for space and information.

A conscious decision to steer the conversation in a new direction, introduce an unexplored idea, or shift the emotional tone is akin to altering the information encoded on the boundary in a holographic system. This change doesn't just affect the individual message but ripples across the entire 'volume' of the conversation. Like a stone dropped in a pond, the waves generated by this change radiate across the conversation, influencing its trajectory and reshaping its contours.

In this sense, every participant in a dialogue has the potential to influence the entire conversation significantly. Each message they contribute not only adds to the 'volume' but can also shift its direction, expand its depth, and alter its tone. This ability to influence the dialogue underscores the creative and collaborative nature of conversation, highlighting the power and responsibility vested in each participant.

Moreover, just as the holographic principle proposes that changes on the boundary affect the entire volume, the ripple effect in a 'holographic dialogue' implies that the entire conversation evolves with each new message. The conversation is not a static entity but a dynamic process of continuous evolution and transformation, shaped by the interplay of ideas and the mutual influence of the participants.

In summary, the ripple effect in 'holographic dialogues' underscores the interconnectedness of ideas and the dynamic nature of conversation. It draws attention to the potential of individual messages to influence the entire dialogue and inspires a more conscious, engaged approach to communication. By

drawing parallels with the holographic principle, this perspective offers a deeper understanding of the flow of ideas and the transformative potential of conversation.

Case Study: A Text-Based Conversation with GPT-4

To provide a practical demonstration of the 'holographic dialogue' concept, let us consider a text-based conversation with GPT-4, the advanced artificial intelligence model developed by OpenAI. A conversation with GPT-4 is an intriguing case study, given its ability to generate human-like text based on the inputs it receives, making for a rich and dynamic dialogue.

A typical conversation with GPT-4 begins with an input from the user. This initial message sets the stage for the dialogue, introducing the first contours of the conversational 'volume.' As the conversation progresses, each response from GPT-4 and each subsequent message from the user contribute to shaping and expanding this 'volume.' Every message carries the accumulated weight of the previous conversation and influences the direction of the subsequent dialogue.

An analysis of a conversation with GPT-4 in the light of the 'holographic dialogue' principles yields fascinating insights. Each message from GPT-4 is akin to a 'boundary' element, containing information that encapsulates the state of the conversation up to that point. The content and tone of these responses reflect the preceding dialogue and guide the unfolding conversation.

Furthermore, the 'recency effect' is evident in these interactions. The most recent inputs from the user hold a pronounced influence over the responses from GPT-4, demonstrating the principle of 'crystallizing thoughts.' It's also notable how intentional changes introduced by the user can influence the direction of the dialogue, reflecting the 'ripple effect' principle.

In this case study, the conversation with GPT-4 is not just a sequence of questions and responses but an evolving 'holographic dialogue,' shaped and reshaped by the continuous interplay of ideas. Each message is an integral part of the dialogue, contributing to the 'volume' and influencing its future trajectory.

Overall, this case study offers a practical demonstration of the 'holographic dialogue' concept, illuminating its relevance in understanding the dynamics of conversation. Whether conversing with a human or AI, this perspective offers a deeper appreciation for the evolving nature of dialogue and the interconnectedness of ideas therein.

Challenges and Critiques

While the concept of a 'holographic dialogue' offers a novel perspective on understanding conversations, it is not without its limitations and potential pitfalls. Applying a principle rooted in theoretical physics to the domain of human dialogue can be fraught with difficulties, and some criticisms and counterarguments warrant discussion.

The primary challenge lies in the metaphorical leap from physics to dialogue. The holographic principle in physics deals with quantum gravity and the nature of space-time, which are fundamentally different from the nature and dynamics of human conversation. While the metaphor may offer intriguing insights, it can also oversimplify or misrepresent the complexity of human communication. For example, the holographic principle posits a fixed relationship between a volume and its boundary, but conversations can be more fluid and unpredictable, shaped by a myriad of social, psychological, and contextual factors.

Moreover, the 'holographic dialogue' concept could be critiqued for potentially devaluing the significance of individual messages within a conversation. By suggesting that every message is a microcosm of the entire conversation, we risk overlooking the unique value and contribution of each message. While the most recent messages influence the dialogue's direction, older messages can still hold relevance and offer valuable insights. It's crucial to consider the dialogue's historical continuity, acknowledging that past messages can remain significant and influential even as the conversation evolves.

Another critique could center around the model's scalability. While a 'holographic dialogue' view might be suitable for intimate one-on-one conversations or small group discussions, its applicability to larger, more complex dialogues - such as public debates, online forums, or social media platforms - remains uncertain. These dialogues can involve multiple 'volumes' and 'boundaries,' making it challenging to apply the holographic principle straightforwardly.

Finally, we must be cautious about the deterministic implications of the 'holographic dialogue' concept. While it can help us understand the influence of individual messages and the ripple effect in conversations, we should not neglect the role of free will, spontaneity, and creativity in human dialogue. The future course of a conversation is not merely dictated by its past but is continuously co-created by its participants.

In summary, while the 'holographic dialogue' concept offers a fresh lens to understand conversations, we must consider its limitations and potential pitfalls. It serves as a metaphorical tool to explore the dynamics of dialogue, not a definitive model of conversation. As with any metaphor, it illuminates certain aspects of the phenomenon while obscuring others, and its utility

ultimately lies in the insights it brings to our understanding of conversations.

The Holographic Principle and Beyond: Implications for Understanding Dialogue

The concept of a 'holographic dialogue' opens intriguing avenues for understanding communication and information exchange. By shifting our view from linear sequences of messages to an interconnected, evolving whole, it enriches our perception of dialogue's dynamics and potential.

One immediate implication is a more nuanced appreciation for the interconnectedness of ideas within a conversation. Every message holds a relationship with the entire dialogue and can significantly influence its trajectory. This perspective can inspire more thoughtful and intentional participation in dialogues, cognizant of each message's potential impact.

Moreover, the 'holographic dialogue' concept underscores the co-creative nature of conversation. Every participant contributes to shaping the 'volume' of the dialogue, influencing its direction and depth with their messages. This view can foster a sense of shared responsibility and ownership in conversations, promoting more collaborative and respectful communication.

The concept also carries potential applications in understanding and improving AI-human dialogues. As our case study with GPT-4 demonstrated, viewing such interactions through a 'holographic' lens can yield valuable insights into the dynamics of these dialogues and inform strategies for enhancing AI's conversational abilities.

Looking ahead, the 'holographic dialogue' concept opens exciting avenues for future research and application. It could inspire new

methodologies for analyzing dialogues in various contexts - from personal conversations and team discussions to public debates and social media exchanges. By tracking the evolution of 'volumes' and 'boundaries' within these dialogues, researchers could uncover patterns and dynamics that traditional linear models might overlook.

Furthermore, this concept might find application in education and communication training, helping individuals understand the ripple effects of their words and cultivate more effective and mindful communication habits. It could also influence conversational design in technology, shaping how we program and interact with AI conversational agents.

In conclusion, the 'holographic dialogue' concept, while metaphorical and not without its challenges, offers a fresh perspective on understanding dialogue. Its potential implications extend beyond the realm of physics, enriching our comprehension of communication and opening novel avenues for future exploration.

Deviation and Demonstration: Application to Shannon, Markov, and Transformer Architecture

At this point, I interrupt the preplanned outline of this essay.

Your request to relate our discussion to concepts like Shannon's information theory, Markov processes, and the Transformer architecture used in AI like GPT-4 serves as a practical demonstration of the principles we've discussed so far. This shifts the trajectory of our conversation, illustrating the 'ripple effect' in a 'holographic dialogue.'

Shannon's Information Theory: Shannon's theory is fundamentally about the transmission and processing of information. In the

context of our 'holographic dialogue,' each message in the conversation can be seen as a unit of information. As in Shannon's model, each message adds to the 'information' of the conversation, influencing the entire dialogue similar to the holographic principle's effect on the boundary influencing the volume. Shannon's entropy, a measure of uncertainty or surprise, also finds resonance here. Each new input in the conversation increases the potential paths the dialogue can take, increasing its entropy and making it more unpredictable and complex.

Markov Processes: Markov processes involve systems that transition from one state to another, with the probability of each state dependent solely on the previous state. This is analogous to the 'recency effect' we discussed in the 'holographic dialogue,' where recent messages strongly influence the direction of the conversation. However, in contrast to the strict Markov property, our dialogue holds a kind of 'memory' beyond just the immediate last state, maintaining an ongoing narrative thread.

Transformer Architecture: The Transformer architecture, foundational to models like GPT-4, is built on the attention mechanism, allowing it to weigh the relevance of past input when generating a response. This mirrors the 'holographic dialogue' concept where each message reflects the influence of previous messages and contributes to the evolution of the conversation. The ability of the Transformer model to give 'attention' to different parts of the conversation shows a sort of 'holographic' behavior, as it can extract and utilize the essential information from the entirety of the dialogue.

In summary, these comparisons not only demonstrate the principles of the 'holographic dialogue' in action but also extend our understanding of these well-established concepts. This illustrates how each message in our conversation contributes to a

richer, interconnected dialogue, offering a dynamic and evolving 'volume' of shared understanding.

Holography of Ideas and Conversation

At this point, I interrupt again and request this new section.

Developing equations to describe the "holography of ideas and conversation" presents a unique and complex challenge, as we're dealing with abstract concepts rather than concrete physical phenomena. But it's an interesting thought experiment, so let's express some key principles in a mathematical way. Please note that these equations are largely metaphorical, symbolizing certain dynamics of conversation, rather than serving as strict mathematical models.

1. **Information Volume and Boundary:** Let's denote the volume of a conversation $V(t)$, representing the total content of the dialogue at time t . Each message i can be seen as a boundary B_i that adds to this volume. The volume of a conversation at time t can be represented as an accumulation of all boundaries (messages) up to that point:

$$V(t) = \sum B_i \text{ for } i = 1 \text{ to } t$$

2. **Recency Effect:** The influence of a message i on the direction of the conversation $D(t)$ could be modeled as inversely proportional to the distance in time from the current conversation moment. We can introduce a decay factor λ to represent this:

$$D(t) = \sum (B_i * \lambda^{(t-i)}) \text{ for } i = 1 \text{ to } t$$

3. **Ripple Effect:** The ripple effect $R(t)$ of a message i can be modeled as the change in the conversation's direction $D(t)$ caused by that message:

$$R(t) = D(t) - D(t-1)$$

These metaphorical equations offer a way to conceptualize the dynamics of a 'holographic dialogue'. They symbolize how each message contributes to the volume of the conversation, how recent messages have a stronger influence on its direction, and how each message can cause a 'ripple effect' altering the course of the dialogue. Again, these should be taken as symbolic representations rather than rigorous mathematical models, as human conversation involves complex and multifaceted dynamics that can't be fully captured by simple equations.

GPT-4 Transformer Architecture

I interrupt again concerning transformer architecture structure.

The Transformer architecture, as utilized in models like GPT-4, parallels our mathematical model of 'holographic dialogue' in several ways.

1. **Information Volume and Boundary:** In the Transformer architecture, the input is a sequence of tokens (words or subwords), and the model processes these tokens to generate an output sequence. We can liken the sequence of tokens to our conversation boundaries B_i . Each token adds to the "information volume" of the input sequence. Similarly, in the context of our dialogue model, each message B_i contributes to the total content of the conversation $V(t)$.

2. **Recency Effect:** Transformer models pay attention to all parts of the input sequence when generating each token in the output sequence, but they often give more weight to more recent tokens due to the way attention mechanisms work. This is somewhat akin to the Recency Effect we described, where more recent messages have a stronger influence on the direction of the conversation.

This attention mechanism can be visualized mathematically as a weighted sum of input tokens, where the weights are determined by the attention scores. In a conversation, $D(t)$, the direction at time t , can be influenced by all past messages, but with more weight given to recent messages - this is similar to how the attention mechanism works in the Transformer.

3. **Ripple Effect:** When generating a response, the Transformer model considers all the previous input, so any change in the input (like adding a new message or altering a previous one) can potentially change the output. This is similar to the ripple effect in our conversation model, where a single message can change the conversation's direction.

These comparisons show how the dynamics of the 'holographic dialogue' conceptually align with the underlying principles of the Transformer architecture, reinforcing the idea that our conversation exhibits holographic-like properties.

Note: The concept of holographic dialogue is not dependent on holographic projections in cosmology being correct, and ironically the former could be true and the latter false.